

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. Naoki Shutoh 10/629,624 07/30/2003 241072US2SRD 9134 22850 7590 01/14/2008 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET PHASGE, ARUN S ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1795 NOTIFICATION DATE DELIVERY MODE 01/14/2008 **ELECTRONIC**

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/629,624	SHUTOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arun S. Phasge	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI	V IS SET TO EXPIRE 31	MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN. 136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133):	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2007.		
, 	,		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-16 and 18-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1-4, 17 is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement		
	or orogan rodan omena		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	iority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _		

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 10/23/06. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen of record for reasons of record.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Hohl of record for reasons of record.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sportouch in view of Shen as applied to claims above, and further in view of Bell of record for reasons of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/29/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the sintered body of Shen would have a large amount of oxygen, whereas, the present invention would have a small amount of oxygen included in the sintered body.

The present claims do not recite a range of this small amount of oxygen, indeed the structural limitation merely recite a sintered body. Indeed, a product defined in a product-by-process claim is a product, not a process. In re Bridgeford, 149 U.S.P.Q. 55 C.C.P.A. (1966). It is the patentability of the product claimed and NOT of the recited process steps which must be established. In re Brown, 173 U.S.P.Q. 685 C.C.P.A. (1972); In re Wertheim, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 C.C.P.A. (1976).

A claim with the limitations to the amount of oxygen obtained by the process recited in claim 1, with a declaration or other showing demonstrating the difference in the products obtained by the different process steps would render the present rejection untenable.

With regard to the combination of Sportouch in view of Shen and further in view of Hohl, applicants argue that the Hohl reference fails to cure the

deficiencies of the Shen and Sportouch references. As shown above, the Sportouch and Shen references render the present claims obvious.

With regard to the combination of Sportouch in view of Shen and further in view of Bell, applicants argue that the Bell reference fails to cure the deficiencies of the Shen and Sportouch references. As shown above, the Sportouch and Shen references render the present claims obvious.

Accordingly, the claims stand rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun'S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795